

**RFQ# 915551 -Cowan Riverside Rehabilitation-Area 4- Pages Branch**

<b>Evaluation Criteria (Max Points)</b>	<b>American Infrastructure Technologies Corporation</b>	<b>Insituform Technologies, LLC</b>	<b>Layne Inliner, LLC</b>	<b>SAK Construction, LLC</b>
<b>Capacity and Scheduling (15 Points)</b>	6	12	12	13
<b>Project Approach and Business Plan (20 Points)</b>	5	16	18	15
<b>Firm Qualifications (10 Points)</b>	5	8	7	9
<b>Team Qualifications (5 Points)</b>	3	5	5	4
<b>Cost (50 Points)</b>	46.08	45.86	36.95	50
<b>Total (100 Points)</b>	<b>65.08</b>	<b>86.86</b>	<b>78.95</b>	<b>91.00</b>

**Strengths & Weaknesses**

**American Infrastructure Technologies Corporation (65.08 Points)**

**Weaknesses:** Schedule demonstrating firm's understanding of the delivery requirements lacked detail; failed to provide a narrative corresponding to the schedule; failed to provide a detailed description of how firm's resources will be structured to ensure timely delivery; failed to demonstrate the firm's plan to schedule critical tasks for the scope of work; failed to provide a detailed narrative demonstrating firm's understanding of the project; failed to provide a detailed plan of the firm's proposed approach; failed to demonstrate firm's approach to communicate with residents on the direct impact regarding water and sewer services; failed to demonstrate firm's approach to minimize impact to businesses during construction; failed to provide a detailed plan for the firm's approach to manage closed circuit television; failed to identify cost overrun risks associated with the project; failed to provide projects of similar size, scope, and complexity; flow chart does not clearly define key individuals for the project; failed to provide a detailed explanation of how the knowledge and experience of the individuals will be utilized; failed to provide a resume for a key individual demonstrating qualifications

**Insituform Technologies, LLC (86.86 Points)**

**Strengths:** Detailed schedule demonstrating firm's understanding of the delivery requirements; detailed plan for scheduling diversion pumping; detail narrative corresponding to structuring the schedule to ensure timely delivery of services; detailed narrative plan demonstrating firm's understanding of the project and desired deliverables; detailed traffic control plan; detailed plan for number of crews for project; detailed plan for business notification of potential water and sewer restrictions; detailed plan for managing closed circuit television; detailed risk mitigation plan; projects of similar size, scope, and complexity; detailed information describing firm's background experience and qualifications to accomplish required outcomes; detailed list of key individuals of proposed team for project; detailed explanation of specialized knowledge and experience of individuals for project; detailed resumes for key individuals

**Weaknesses:** Plan to communicate to residents regarding impact of accessing water and sewer services lacked detail; failed to identify contract duration concerns; failed to identify cost overrun risks associated with the project; litigation; failed to address liquidated damages on previous project; proposed team members who are no longer with firm

**Layne Inliner, LLC (78.95 Points)**

**Strengths:** Detailed schedule demonstrating firm's understanding of the delivery requirements; detailed contract delivery concerns; detailed narrative corresponding to structuring the schedule to ensure timely delivery of services; detailed narrative plan demonstrating firm's understanding of the project and desired deliverables; clearly identified key individuals that will be utilized on the project; detailed information describing firm's background experience and qualifications to accomplish required outcomes; projects of similar size, scope, and complexity; strong team qualifications

**Weaknesses:** Permitting process lacked detail; daily project management process not clearly defined; proposed a project schedule that exceeded the substantial project schedule by approximately three days; failed to provide firm's proposed number of crews for project; bypass pumping process lacked detail; failed to list sub-contractors on previous project; failed to address number of change orders on previous project; cost

**SAK Construction, LLC (91.00 Points)**

**Strengths:** Clearly identified delivery concerns; clearly delineated process for mitigating delivery concerns; detailed schedule demonstrating firm's understanding of the delivery requirements; projects of similar size, scope, and complexity; cost; detailed plan to manage 18-inch and larger diameter internal point repairs; detailed information describing firm's background experience and qualifications to accomplish required outcomes

**Weaknesses:** Narrative describing timely delivery not clearly defined; firm's approach to communicate with residents of the direct impact regarding water and sewer services lacked detail; firm's approach to minimize impact to businesses during construction lacked detail; failed to provide a chart identifying team members and key individuals; performance of referenced project lacked detail; explanation of specialized knowledge and experience of individuals utilized for project lacked detail

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below

Cowan Riverside Rehabilitation-Area 4- Pages Branch; RFQ# 915551

**50**

**Offeror's Name**

**Bids**

**RFP Cost  
Points**

SAK Construction, LLC

\$6,593,117.00

**50.00**

American Infrastructure Technologies Corporation

\$7,153,325.00

**46.08**

Insituform Technologies, LLC

\$7,188,206.50

**45.86**

Layne Inliner, LLC

\$8,921,762.50

**36.95**

# PNP Compliance Results Form

**Department Name: Water Services**  
**RFP/ITB Number: 915551**  
**Procurement Name: Cowan Riverside Rehabilitation Area 4 Pages Branch**

Primary Contractor	PNP Compliant (Yes/No)	Determination Comments/% of Participation Proposed or Bid
SAK Construction, LLC	Yes	SAK Construction, LLC was compliant on their outreach as required by the Procurement code

**\*Denotes Contractor with whom follow up was required**  
**Date: 05/24/2016**  
**Metro Buyer: Genario Pittman**  
**BAO Staff: Flake Hudson**

### BAO SBE Assessment Sheet

BAO Specialist: Flake Hudson
Contract Specialist: Genario Pittman
Date: 05/25/2016
Department Name: Water Services
RFP/ITB Number: 915551
Project Name: Cowan Riverside Rehabilitation Area 4 Pages Branch

Primary Contractor:	SBEs approved?	Comments
SAK Construction, LLC	Yes	Proposer has pledged above the 20% required participation of SBE/SDV as required by the solicitation. SAK has proposed the engagement of Metro approved SBE subcontractors CK Masonary (\$1,444,552 /21.91% ), SBW Constructors, LLC (\$1,501,630 / 22.78%) and DFW Infrastructure, Inc. (\$137,348 / 2.08%)

**Column Headings**

Shaded cells in columns E and G are formula driven and should not be changed

White cells with text are fields that you can edit.

Gray cells with bold text contain formulas that can not be changed.